1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General
2	of the State of California GLORIA A. BARRIOS
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KIMBERLEY J. BAKER-GUILLEMET, State Bar No. 242920
	Deputy Attorney General
4	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA- 90013
5	Telephone: (213) 897-2533 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804
б	Service upon the upon or
7	Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF VOCATIONAL NURSING AND PSYCHIATRIC TECHNICIANS
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:   Case No. PT-2007-742
11	
12	SEAN LOPEZ-WOOD  13176 Spire Circle  ACCUSATION
13	Chino Hills, California 91709
	Psychiatric Technician License No. PT 31711
14	Respondent,
15	
16	Complainant alleges:
17	<u>PARTIES</u>
18	<ol> <li>Teresa Bello-Jones, J.D., M.S.N., R.N. (Complainant) brings this</li> </ol>
19	Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Vocational
20	Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians (Board).
21	<ol> <li>On or about May 8, 2003, the Board issued Psychiatric Technician License</li> </ol>
22	Number PT 31711 to Sean M. Lopez-Wood (Respondent). The Psychiatric Technician License
23	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on
24	June 30, 2010, unless renewed.
25	<u>JURISDICTION</u>
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the
27	following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise
28	indicated.

following:

19 1

 Section 4521 of the Code states, in pertinent part that:

"The board may suspend or revoke a license issued under this chapter [the Psychiatric Technicians Law (Bus. & Prof Code, 4500, et seq.)] for any of the following reasons:

- "(a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes but is not limited to any of the
- "(1) Incompetence or gross negligence in carrying out usual psychiatric technician functions.

"(n) The commission of any act involving dishonesty, when that action is substantially related to the duties and functions of the licensee.

"(o) Except for good cause, the knowing failure to protect patients by failing to follow infection control guidelines, thereby risking transmission of blood-borne infectious diseases from licensee to patient, from patient to patient, and from patient to licensee. In administering this subdivision, the board shall consider the standards, regulations, and guidelines of the State Department of Health Services developed pursuant to Section 1250.11 of the Health and Safety Code and the standards, guidelines, and regulations pursuant to the California Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1973 (Part 1 (commencing with Section 6300) of Division 5 of the Labor Code) for preventing the transmission of HIV, hepatitis B, and other blood-borne pathogens in health care settings. As necessary, the board shall consult with the California Medical Board, the Board of Dental Examiners, and the Board of Registered Nursing, to encourage appropriate consistency in the implementation of this section. The board shall seek to ensure that licentiates and others regulated by the board are informed of the responsibility of licentiates and others to follow infection control guidelines, and of the most recent scientifically recognized safeguards for minimizing the risk of transmission of blood-borne infectious diseases."

5. Section 2577 of title 16 of the California Code of Regulations states:
"As set forth in Section 4521 of the code, gross negligence is deemed unprofessional conduct and is grounds for disciplinary action. As used in Section 4521 'gross negligence' means a substantial

7 . 8

9

10

11 12

14 15

13

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

> 26 27

28

While assigned to "Rounds" duty Respondent failed to ensure the safety а. and supervision of the patients on the unit.

- Ъ. Respondent failed to account for all patients upon return from a patio break, in violation of facility policy.
- Respondent failed to document the location of each patient on the secure unit thereby allowing the risk of injury, in violation of facility policy.
  - đ Respondent failed to safeguard all of the patients on the unit.
  - 12. The circumstances are as follows:
- On August 31, 2005, Respondent was assigned to hourly rounds on the a. AM shift on a secure unit at Metropolitan State Hospital where he was employed as a Psychiatric Technician. In this capacity, Respondent was charged with utilizing the legend provided on Rounds Record and documenting the following on the Rounds Records: patients' whereabouts every hour, when patients leave to and return from patio breaks, clinic appointments, court hearings, school, etc. and the amount of meals consumed by patients. Respondent was also responsible for completing the final check of the patio which includes checking that all doors and gates are locked and in good repair, ensuring that there is no contraband present and confirming that the area is secure and all individuals are accounted for.
- b. At approximately 1605 hours patient Fernando F, was discovered unaccounted for on the unit. According to the Rounds Record, Respondent indicated that Fernando F. was on the unit at 1300 hours following a patio break at 1230 hours. Respondent did not indicate in the Rounds Record whether Fernando F. was on the unit at 1400 hours. At the end of Respondent's shift, the PM staff member assigned to hourly rounds asked Respondent. "Where is Fernando?" Despite the fact that Respondent had accounted for Fernando initially being present on the unit, he replied, "He is at school."
- It was determined that Respondent had left the facility and was absent without leave (AWOL). Hospital staff was unable to determine the exact time when or the location where Fernando F. had gone AWOL because Respondent had not completed the Rounds Record correctly and in accordance with policy. After it was determined that Fernando F. was

8

10

12 13

11

15

16

14

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

missing, an inspection of the patio area revealed that the fence had been kicked out and that the storage closet was open. Respondent failed to notice this when he completed the patio check that day.

- . d. Respondent's supervisor, Joyti Scott, R.N., reviewed the Rounds Record and discovered that Respondent had not followed the legend in making notations as to when individuals went to the patio and returned to the unit. As a result, Respondent was unable to verify if Fernando F, ever returned to the unit from the patio. Supervisor Scott also determined that Respondent left 30 blank boxes on the rounds record and only accounted for four (4) individuals at 1300 hours. The rounds record was left completely blank for the 1400 hours rounds. Further, Respondent failed to indicate the amount of lunch consumed by any of the patients on the rounds records for that day.
- On September 1, 2005, Nurse Scott questioned Respondent as to whether e. he had visually accounted for Fernando F. during the time period in question. Respondent's reply was, "I thought I saw him."
- f. On September 21, 2005, Respondent was counseled by Nurse Scott regarding the incident involving patient Fernando F. on August 31, 2005. Respondent was reprimanded for failing to adhere to the following policies: Metropolitan State Hospital Administrative Manual AD No. 3209 "Patient Count", Nursing Policy/Procedure Manual (NP&P) 709 "Patient Rounds/Patient Count" and Nursing Policy/Procedure Manual (NP&P) 708 "Supervision of Patients During Patio Activities." Respondent was reminded that on November 16, 2004, he had signed a training record indicating that he had read and understood the intent of policies related to patient supervision.
- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4521 subdivision (a)(1) of the Code as defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 2577, in that he substantially departed from the standard of care which, under similar circumstances, would have ordinarily been exercised by a competent licensed psychiatric technician, and which could have resulted in harm to patients. Specifically, on June 2, 2005, and on September 15, 2005, Respondent failed to follow aseptic procedures while administering

- ı
- 3.

1

2

- 4
- 5
- 7
- 8
- 10
- I1
- 12

Monitoring Tool" dated June 2, 2005.

- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- . 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- 14. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On June 2, 2005, Respondent was observed passing medication by Mary Elmgren, Registered Nurse and Nursing Instructor. During the observation, Nurse Elmgren noticed that Respondent failed to wash his hands throughout the entire process and failed to check the medication against the Medication Treatment Record (MTR) three (3) times to ensure the right medication was being administered. Further, Respondent was unable to describe the therapeutic effects of drugs administered, was unable to apply the principles of asepsis to medication administration, failed to adhere to hospital policy when wasting medication, failed to

b. As a result of this observation, on June 9, 2005, Willie Cottens, Senior
 Psychiatric Technician (SPT), reviewed Medication Administration with Respondent.

sign out narcotics correctly and failed to properly store items in clearly marked areas of the

medication cart. Nurse Elmgren recorded these observations on a "Medication Administration

- c. On June 10, 2005, Nurse Joyti Scott, emphasized the importance of following policies and procedures with Respondent.
- d. On September 15, 2005, Respondent was observed passing medications by SPT Willie Cottens. On that date SPT Cottens observed that Respondent still failed to consistently check the medication against the MTR three (3) times to ensure the right medication was being administered. In addition, Respondent was still unable to describe therapeutic effects of medications being administered and was unable to differentiate expected side effects from adverse reactions. SPT Cottens recorded these observations on a "Medication Administration Monitoring Tool" dated September 15, 2005.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Unprofessional Conduct: Incompetence)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4521 subdivision (a)(1) of the Code for unprofessional conduct and incompetence as defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 2577.1, in that he lacked possession of and

1	failed to exercise that degree of learning, skill, care and experience ordinarily possessed and
2	exercised by responsible licensed psychiatric technicians. Complainant refers to, and by this
3	reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 11, 12, 13 and 14, inclusive,
4	as though set forth fully.
5	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
6	(Commission of Any Act Involving Dishonesty)
7	<ol> <li>Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4521</li> </ol>
8	subdivision (n) in that he committed an act of dishonesty on August 31, 2005, when he
9	misrepresented to the PM staff that Fernando F. was at school when he had accounted for
10	Fernando initially being present on the unit. Complainant refers to, and by this reference
11	incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 11 and 12, inclusive, as though set forth
12	fully.
13	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
14	(Knowing Failure to Follow Infection Control Guidelines)
15	17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4521
16	subdivision (o) in that he failed to follow infection control guidelines while administering
17	medications to patients on more than one occasion. Complainant refers to, and by this reference
18	incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 13 and 14, inclusive, as though set forth
19	fully.
20	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
21	(Failure to Safeguard Patients' Health and Safety)
22	18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section under section
23	2576.6, subdivision (a)(2) of the California Code of Regulations in that he failed to document
24	patient care in accordance with the standards of the profession. Complainant refers to, and by
25	this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 11, 12, 13 and 14,
26	inclusive, as though set forth fully.
27	<i>III</i>
28	##

1	and to maintain compliance with licensing and other standards. Respondent was again informed
2	that he was using sick leave credits at a faster rate than he was earning them. Respondent was
3	also given a counseling action plan.
4	PRAYER
5	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
6	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric
. 7	Technicians issue a decision:
8	<ol> <li>Revoking or suspending Psychiatric Technician License Number PT</li> </ol>
9	31711, issued to Sean M. Lopez-Wood.
10	2. Ordering Sean M. Lopez-Wood to pay the Board of Vocational Nursing
11	and Psychiatric Technicians the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this
12	case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
13	<ol> <li>Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.</li> </ol>
14	
15	DATED:March17,2009
16	
17	Jerega Jelo ma
18	TERESA BELLO-JONES, J.D., M.S.N., R.N. Executive Officer
19	Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians State of California
20	Complainant
21	
22	
23	LAZ008601118
24	60381497.wpd
25	30°
26	
27	19 20